

***Remarks***

Claim 61 was rejected under 35 U.S.C 102(b) as being anticipated by Bjorksten et al (US 2,768,919). Claim 61-80 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) unpatentable over Bjorksten et al (US 2,768,919) in view of Cook et al (US 4,179,979) and Hollis, Sr. (US 4,179,979).

In response the Applicant has limited the independent claims with language explaining that the at least two inner plies are placed parallel to one another. Further, Applicant has amended the independent claims with language explaining that the at least one dissipating element is arranged to occupy all the volume between the at least two inner plies. Additionally, Applicant has amended the independent claims with language explaining that the polymer matrix is arranged to occupy all the volume not taken up by the first outer layer, the second outer layer, the at least two inner plies, and the at least one dissipating element. Please see the figures, at least, for support for the limitation.

No new matter has been entered.

A petition for any and all extensions of time is hereby made. An RCE has also been filed with this amendment and response. Please charge all fees due and owing to Deposit Account 500356 in the name of *A + Legal Services – Greenberg and Lieberman.*

Respectfully,

/mlg/

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Reg. no. 47312